

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

m-t

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,002	03/01/2002	Ronald Lynn Blair	PU020019	6287	
IOCEDII C. TD	7590 03/22/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER		
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC.			JONES, HEA	JONES, HEATHER RAE	
2 INDEPENDE P.O. BOX 5312		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			2621		
	,			,	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/087,002		BLAIR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Heather R. Jones	2621	

•	Heather R. Jones	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		~	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	had asiant the data of filling a baid		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See attached sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Application/Control Number: 10/087,002 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed February 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues on page 5, lines 10-16 that Suito does not teach, suggest, or disclose "repeating or dropping selected ones of the digital audio samples at a rate corresponding to a selected trick mode video playback speed of the video presentation." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Suito et al. discloses that for each processing unit period, sound absence portion(s) of the reproduced sound signal are deleted (or partially deleted) within a range corresponding to a normal speed reproduction. Deleting is the same as dropping selected ones of the digital audio samples and the claim does not require the apparatus to be able to repeat and drop selected ones of the digital audio samples. Therefore, Suito et al. meets the claim limitations and the rejection is maintained.

The Applicant argues on page 6, lines 1-4 that Suito fails to teach "scaling a playback audio frequency of the frequency domain audio samples in accordance with the trick mode playback". The Examiner respectively disagrees. Suito discloses in Figs. 3-6 that the samples go through an amplitude suppression process, which means the amplitudes of the samples are scaled accordingly. Suito discloses in col. 5, lines 53-60 that the video data and sound data is compressed in accordance with a compression coding method and a multiplexing method of the MPEG-2 standard. MPEG-2 inherently transforms the

Application/Control Number: 10/087,002 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

digital audio samples from time domain to corresponding frequency domain audio samples in order to process the samples. Therefore, Suito et al. meets the claim limitations and the rejection is maintained.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather R. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

### Heather R Jones

Application/Control Number: 10/087,002

Art Unit: 2621

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 2621

HRJ March 19, 2007

James J. Groody
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 262 262